

Executive Director James L. Palmer, II palmer@wppa.com

General Operations Office

660 John Nolen Dr., Suite 300 Madison, WI 53713 608-273-3840 wppa.com

WPPA Board of Directors

Officers

President - Kevin Sorenson, Portage County
Vice President - Scott Favour, Madison
Treasurer - Todd Hoover, Racine
Secretary - Terri Hook, Oneida County
Sergeant at Arms - Mike Chinander, Eau Claire

Directors

Todd Ayers - Superior
Steven Bartels - Waukesha
John Baumgartner - Beloit
James Brigham - Dane County
Cord Buckner - Wausau
Allison Cerqua - West Allis
William Chesen - Retirees
Dale Gerbig, II - La Crosse
Lisa Gerbig - Onalaska
John Hetland - Racine
Scott Jennings - Juneau County

Ted Knoeck - Marathon County Sups Susan Krause - Madison Dennis LeCaptain - Janesville Nichelle Nelson - Waukesha Kurt Pierce - Dane County Sups David Raddatz - Fond du Lac Robert Richardson - Dane County Kyle Roder - Eau Claire Andrew Rosenow - La Crosse Nick Stachula - West Allis Joel Wener - Pepin County

Table of Contents

2013 - 2014 Legislative Session Cumulative Results p. 3
The WPPA Report Card: How Legislators are Scored p. 6
The WPPA's Legislative Priorities p. 7
2013 - 2014 Bills of Interest p. 8
The 2013 - 2014 Legislative Session in Retrospect p. 12

2013 - 2014 Legislative Session Cumulative Results

Using the scoring methodology described herein, the cumulative results for the 2013-2014 legislative session are as follows:

Party	Representative	Total Score
	State Assembly	
R	Bies, Garey	180
R	Larson, Thomas	151
R	Jacque, André	148
R	Ott, Jim	139
R	Ott, Alvin	138
R	Thiesfeldt, Jeremy	138
D	Kahl, Robb	137
R	Spiros, John	131
R	Kleefisch, Joel	130
D	Berceau, Terese	124
R	Brooks, Edward	123
D	Doyle, Steve	123
D	Ohnstad, Tod	123
D	Sinicki, Christine	122
R	Kaufert, Dean	121
D	Wachs, Dana	121
R	Bernier, Kathleen	119
R	Kerkman, Samantha	119
R	Born, Mark	117
D	Taylor, Chris	115
R	Ballweg, Joan	114
D	Bernard Schaber, Penny	114
D	Hebl, Gary	114
R	Krug, Scott	114
R	LeMahieu, Daniel	114
D	Wright, Mandy	114
D	Richards, Jon	113
D	Danou, Chris	111
R	Jagler, John	111
R	Kooyenga, Dale	111
D	Milroy, Nick	111
D	Bewley, Janet	109
R	Endsley, Mike	109
R	Petryk, Warren	109
R	Craig, David	108
D	Genrich, Eric	108
D	Kolste, Debra	108
R	Murphy, David	108
R	Mursau, Jeff	108
R	Sanfelippo, Joe	108
D	Hesselbein, Dianne	107





Party	Representative	Total Score
R	Marklein, Howard	107
D	Mason, Cory	107
D	Pasch, Sandy	107
D	Riemer, Daniel	107
R	Schraa, Michael	107
R	Petersen, Kevin	106
R	Weatherston, Thomas	106
D	Goyke, Evan	105
D		105
D	Sargent, Melissa	103
	Jorgensen, Andy	
R	Nerison, Lee	104
D	Pope, Sondy	104
R	Swearingen, Rob	104
R	Tittl, Paul	104
R	Czaja, Mary	103
R	Kulp, Bob	103
D	Ringhand, Janis	103
R	Rodriguez, Jessie	103
R	Strachota, Patricia	103
R	Weininger, Chad	103
D	Zepnick, Josh	103
R	Hutton, Rob	102
D	Johnson, LaTonya	102
D	Shankland, Katrina	102
R	Kestell, Steve	101
R	Knodl, Daniel	101
R	Knudson, Dean	101
R	Nygren, John	101
R	Skowronski, Ken	101
R	Steineke, Jim	4.04
R		101
K	Williams, Mary	101
D	Williams, Mary Clark, Fred	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	101
D	Clark, Fred	101 100
D R	Clark, Fred Neylon, Adam	101 100 100
D R R	Clark, Fred Neylon, Adam Tauchen, Gary	101 100 100 100
D R R D	Clark, Fred Neylon, Adam Tauchen, Gary Vruwink, Amy Sue	101 100 100 100 100
D R R D D	Clark, Fred Neylon, Adam Tauchen, Gary Vruwink, Amy Sue Billings, Jill	101 100 100 100 100 99
D R R D D R	Clark, Fred Neylon, Adam Tauchen, Gary Vruwink, Amy Sue Billings, Jill Pridemore, Don	101 100 100 100 100 99 99
D R R D D R D D R	Clark, Fred Neylon, Adam Tauchen, Gary Vruwink, Amy Sue Billings, Jill Pridemore, Don Young, Leon	101 100 100 100 100 99 99 99
D R R D D D R	Clark, Fred Neylon, Adam Tauchen, Gary Vruwink, Amy Sue Billings, Jill Pridemore, Don Young, Leon Smith, Stephen	101 100 100 100 100 99 99 99 99
D R R D D R D R D R R D R R	Clark, Fred Neylon, Adam Tauchen, Gary Vruwink, Amy Sue Billings, Jill Pridemore, Don Young, Leon Smith, Stephen Klenke, John	101 100 100 100 100 99 99 99 99 99
D R R D D R D R D R R R R R	Clark, Fred Neylon, Adam Tauchen, Gary Vruwink, Amy Sue Billings, Jill Pridemore, Don Young, Leon Smith, Stephen Klenke, John Severson, Erik	101 100 100 100 100 99 99 99 99 99 99
D R R D D R D R D R R R R R	Clark, Fred Neylon, Adam Tauchen, Gary Vruwink, Amy Sue Billings, Jill Pridemore, Don Young, Leon Smith, Stephen Klenke, John Severson, Erik Kramer, Bill Tranel, Travis	101 100 100 100 100 99 99 99 99 99 99 99
D R R D D R D R D R R R R R R	Clark, Fred Neylon, Adam Tauchen, Gary Vruwink, Amy Sue Billings, Jill Pridemore, Don Young, Leon Smith, Stephen Klenke, John Severson, Erik Kramer, Bill	101 100 100 100 100 99 99 99 99 99 98 97 96 95





Party	Representative	Total Score
D	Zamarripa, JoCasta	94
D	Hulsey, Brett	93
R	August, Tyler	91
D	Hintz, Gordon	91
R	Ripp, Keith	91
R	**	91
R	Stroebel, Duey	87
R	Murtha, John	
R	Kuglitsch, Mike	83
	Loudenbeck, Amy	83
D	Kessler, Frederick State Senate	80
D		125
R	Petrowski, Jerry	135
R	Leibham, Joseph	119
D	Hansen, Dave	118
D	Carpenter, Tim	111
D	Lehman, John	110
R	Cowles, Robert	107
D	Risser, Fred	107
D	Lassa, Julie	106
R	Schultz, Dale	103
D	Taylor, Lena	102
R	Lasee, Frank	98
D	Harris Dodd, Nikiya	97
R	Gudex, Rick	94
D	Erpenbach, Jon	93
D	Cullen, Timothy	91
R	Olsen, Luther	91
R	Vukmir, Leah	88
R	Farrow, Paul	86
R	Darling, Alberta	85
D	Darling, Alberta Wirch, Robert	85 85
	Darling, Alberta Wirch, Robert Harsdorf, Sheila	85
D	Darling, Alberta Wirch, Robert Harsdorf, Sheila Shilling, Jennifer	85 85
D R	Darling, Alberta Wirch, Robert Harsdorf, Sheila Shilling, Jennifer Miller, Mark	85 85 84
D R D	Darling, Alberta Wirch, Robert Harsdorf, Sheila Shilling, Jennifer	85 85 84 83
D R D	Darling, Alberta Wirch, Robert Harsdorf, Sheila Shilling, Jennifer Miller, Mark	85 85 84 83 82
D R D D	Darling, Alberta Wirch, Robert Harsdorf, Sheila Shilling, Jennifer Miller, Mark Vinehout, Kathleen	85 85 84 83 82 82
D R D D R	Darling, Alberta Wirch, Robert Harsdorf, Sheila Shilling, Jennifer Miller, Mark Vinehout, Kathleen Ellis, Michael	85 85 84 83 82 82 81
D R D D R D D D	Darling, Alberta Wirch, Robert Harsdorf, Sheila Shilling, Jennifer Miller, Mark Vinehout, Kathleen Ellis, Michael Jauch, Bob	85 85 84 83 82 82 81 81
D R D D R C D R R D R R	Darling, Alberta Wirch, Robert Harsdorf, Sheila Shilling, Jennifer Miller, Mark Vinehout, Kathleen Ellis, Michael Jauch, Bob Tiffany, Tom	85 85 84 83 82 82 81 81 79
D R D D R D R R R R	Darling, Alberta Wirch, Robert Harsdorf, Sheila Shilling, Jennifer Miller, Mark Vinehout, Kathleen Ellis, Michael Jauch, Bob Tiffany, Tom Lazich, Mary	85 85 84 83 82 82 81 81 79 78









The WPPA Report Card: How Legislators Are Scored

It is the responsibility of the WPPA governmental affairs staff to identify items of legislation that impact Wisconsin's law enforcement community generally, and the WPPA's membership specifically. Preliminary positions on those bills are made by the WPPA governmental affairs staff and Executive Director. Those positions are then considered for ratification by the WPPA Legislative Committee and Board of Directors. All ratified positions in support or

opposition of specific legislation are included on the legislative report card. Recognizing that not all bills are created equal, but in an attempt to not allow any one bill to too dramatically influence the cumulative results, each legislative measure (companion bills are counted as one) is weighted by the WPPA Legislative Committee on a scale of one to five, and legislators are scored in the following manner:

	Points
Co-sponsoring legislation which we support	1
Co-sponsoring legislation which we oppose	-2
Committee votes for legislation which we support	1
Committee votes against legislation which we support	-1
Committee votes for legislation we oppose	-1
Floor votes for legislation which we support	2
Floor votes against legislation which we support	-2
Floor votes for legislation which we oppose	-2
Floor votes against legislation which we oppose	2
Procedural votes which bar floor consideration of legislation we support	-2
Procedural votes against barring floor consideration of legislation we support	2
Procedural votes which bar floor consideration of legislation we oppose	2
Procedural votes against barring floor consideration of legislation we oppose	-2
Offering amendments which we support	2
Offering amendments we oppose	-2
Leadership Criteria (for Majority party leaders and committee chairs)	
Failure to schedule legislation which we support	-2
Failure to schedule legislation which we oppose	2

The legislator(s) with highest cumulative score will be eligible for recognition as the "WPPA Legislator of the Year" for that particular legislative session. Legislators with an overall score in the top 33rd percentile will be named to the "WPPA's Legislative Honor Roll."

NOTES:

Due to the extent to which the WPPA's scoring methodology tracks legislative activity, it is important to recognize that our measures provide lawmakers on the committees more likely to consider law enforcement or labor-related issues with greater opportunities to be awarded or deducted points. Given the fact that the Senate Majority Leader, Senate Minority Leader, Assembly Speaker, and Assembly Minority Leader traditionally serve on

fewer committees and sponsor fewer legislative measures, those positions are not included on the report card. Lastly, in situations in which a bill passes out of one chamber on a voice vote or it simply passed without objection in concurrence, all lawmakers in that legislative chamber receive credit for supporting or opposing the measure, depending upon our overall position on that specific legislative item.

As the state's largest law enforcement group, the Wisconsin Professional Police Association (WPPA) actively represents its membership before the State Legislature. We advocate on a wide variety of law enforcement and labor-related issues during each two-year legislative session, and since 2005, we have

used a cumulative methodology to closely evaluate legislative activity. At the beginning of each legislative session, the WPPA sends every legislative office an explanation of our governmental affairs platform and report card methodology.

The WPPA's Legislative Priorities

At the 2004 WPPA Annual Convention, the Board of Directors, which consists of elected law enforcement officers from all across the state, formally adopted a governmental affairs platform declaring the legislative principles and policies of interest to the officers within our ranks.

WPPA Governmental Affairs Platform

WPPA Governmental Affairs Platform

- 1. Protect state funding programs that impact public safety services, and promote reforms of state funding formulas in a manner that prioritizes these services.
- 2. Promote legislation to provide collective bargaining rights for all public employees, and to protect against legislation which could diminish those bargaining rights.
- 3. Support legislation improving the collective bargaining dispute settlement procedures for members of the law enforcement community.
- 4. Support legislation allowing for the arbitration of discipline for municipal law enforcement officers.
- 5. Support legislation improving and protecting the pension and retirement benefits of protective services employees.
- 6. Promote legislation likely to have a beneficial impact on the law enforcement community and protect against legislation likely to have a detrimental impact on the law enforcement community.
- 7. Promote political activity by WPPA members through methods such as: encouraging locals to establish local political action committees; advising locals on the operation of their political action committees; providing political action committees with a current uniform candidate questionnaire, and organizing meetings with WPPA locals and their legislators.

This platform is used to help develop an annual report card of legislators' votes on bills of importance to the law enforcement community. This report card is published in the *Wisconsin Police Journal*, which each and every WPPA member receives. This report card closely monitors legislative activity, and aids our affiliated state and local political action committees in their consideration of political endorsements.

2013 - 2014 Bills of Interest

The following is a listing of each bill for which the WPPA registered an interest in support or opposition for the most recent legislative session, as determined by our Board of Directors.

Bill Name	Description	Position
AB 9	This bill exempted from the state's concealed carry prohibitions law enforcement officers who are acting in their official capacity (who are currently exempt only from the prohibition against carrying on school grounds); qualified law enforcement officers, without regard to whether they are on duty; and qualified former law enforcement officers.	1
	Result: Although a hearing was held on the bill, no action has been taken in the State Assembly.	
AB 11	This bill generally prohibited a sexual offender registrant from being in any school building, on any school grounds, school recreation area, or school athletic field, or on any school property owned, used, or operated for school administration unless the registrant notifies the school.	1
	Result: 2013 Wisconsin Act 88.	
AB 22	This bill sought to increase the maximum court fee to \$38.	•
SB 15	Result: 2013 Wisconsin Act 53.	
AB 23 SB 48	This bill prohibited a local government from providing health care benefits to any employee hired on or after January 1, 2014, for use upon the employee's retirement, including compensated absences but excluding the implicit rate subsidy, unless the cost of the benefit is fully funded in a segregated account on an actuarial basis or other method that complies with generally accepted accounting principles.	1
	Result: This bill was approved by the Senate Committee on Urban and Local Affairs in April of 2013, but no further action was taken.	
AB 59 SB 40	This bill specified that a person who is placed on probation or a person who is released from incarceration to parole or extended supervision is subject to having his or her person, residence, and any property under his or her control searched by a law enforcement officer at any time if the officer reasonably suspects that the person is committing, is about to commit, or has committed a crime or a violation of a condition of probation or release. Under the bill, a law enforcement officer may not conduct the search in an arbitrary, capricious, or harassing manner and must notify DOC as soon as practical after he or she conducts the search.	1
	Result: 2013 Wisconsin Act 79.	
AB 62 SB 52	This bill broadened the definition of "intoxicant" to include a substance that is inhaled, ingested, or otherwise consumed in a manner that is contrary to its intended use or labeling, and that is inhaled, ingested, or otherwise consumed to induce intoxication or elation, to stupefy the central nervous system, or to change the human audio, visual, or mental processes.	1
	Result: 2013 Wisconsin Act 83.	
AB 65 SB 62	This bill specified that a person may provide proof of insurance in either printed or electronic format, including by display of images on a cellular telephone or other electronic device. If electronic proof is displayed on any device, the person to whom the proof is displayed may not view on the device any content except the proof. The bill also specifies that the prohibitions relating to forged, falsified, counterfeit, fraudulently altered, or invalid proofs of insurance or other insurance documents also apply with respect to proofs of insurance in electronic format and electronic images.	1
	Result: 2013 Wisconsin Act 31.	
AB 67 SB 57	Under this bill, a person who receives a citation for an OWI-related civil traffic violation or who receives a citation for an ordinance in conformity therewith would have been required to appear in court to plead guilty, no contest, or not guilty to the charge.	1
	Result: The measure was approved by the State Assembly, but no further action was taken.	

Bill Name	Description	Postion
AB 68	Under this bill, a person who commits a first OWI offense with an alcohol concentration of	
SB 58	0.15 or greater is guilty of a crime and could be fined not less than \$350 nor more than \$1,100 and imprisoned for not less than five days nor more than six months. The bill would have also increased the penalty for a second OWI offense to a fine of not less than \$500 nor more than \$1,500, imprisonment for not less than ten days nor more than six months, or both.	1
	Result: The measure was approved by the State Assembly, but no further action was taken.	
AB 71 SB 60	Under this bill, a person who commits a third OWI offense is guilty of a Class H felony and the person would be be fined not less than \$600 and be imprisoned for not less than 45 days. A person who commits a fourth OWI offense would be guilty of a Class H felony and the person must be fined not less than \$600 and must be imprisoned for not less than 60 days. A person who commits a fourth OWI offense within five years of a prior offense would be guilty of a Class G felony and must be fined not less than \$600 and imprisoned for not less than six months. A person who commits a fifth or sixth OWI offense would be guilty of a Class G felony and the person would be fined not less than \$600 and must be imprisoned for not less than six months. A person who commits a seventh, eighth, or ninth OWI offense would be guilty of a Class F felony and the confinement portion of a bifurcated sentence imposed on the person could not be less than three years. A person who commits a tenth or greater OWI offense would be guilty of a Class E felony and the confinement portion of a bifurcated sentence imposed on the person could not be less than four years.	1
	Result: The measure has been approved by the Assembly Judiciary Committee, but no further action was taken.	
AB 74	This bill would have increased the crime victim and witness assistance surcharge, dedicate funds	
SB 100	for crime prevention organizations, and create local crime prevention funding boards.	1
	Result: The measure was approved by the Assembly Judiciary Committee, but no further action was taken.	_
AB 165	This bill would have permitted DOJ to issue a certification card also to a qualified former law enforcement officer who was employed by a state or local law enforcement agency in another state but who resides in Wisconsin.	1
	Result: The measure was approved by the Assembly but no further action was taken.	
AB 208	This bill established a commemorative license plate expressing support for the family members of law enforcement officers who have died in the line of duty.	1
4 D 222	Result: 2013 Wisconsin Act 275.	
AB 223 SB 136	This bill would have prohibited, for a specified period, certain felony offenders from possessing, controlling, or residing with a vicious dog, as determined by a humane officer or a law enforcement officer using criteria specified in the bill.	1
	Result: The measure was approved by the Assembly Criminal Justice Committee, but no further action was taken.	_
AB 251	Under this bill, a county that houses a person in a county jail would be able file a suit against the person in circuit court to be reimbursed for expenses incurred by the county for housing the person, regardless of whether the person is sentenced to a county jail, placed on probation, or sentenced to a state prison.	1
	Result: The measure was approved by the State Assembly, but no further action has been taken.	
AB 256 SB 222	Under this bill, an underage person could not be issued a citation for, or convicted of, an underage violation if all of the following apply: (1) A law enforcement officer has contact with the underage person because the underage person requested emergency medical assistance for himself or herself or for another person; another person requested emergency medical assistance for the underage person; or the underage person requested law enforcement assistance to report, request investigation of, or prevent a possible crime. The request for assistance could be made by dialing "911" or by other means. (2) The underage person remains at the scene until emergency medical	1

Bill Name	Description	Postion
AB 256	assistance or law enforcement assistance arrives and thereafter cooperates with providers of	
SB 222	emergency medical assistance or law enforcement assistance. However, this requirement would	
Cont.	not apply if the underage person is the person in need of emergency medical assistance and lacks capacity to cooperate.	
	Result: No formal action was taken with respect to this measure.	
AB 409	This bill required that each law enforcement agency have a written policy regarding the investigation of officer-involved deaths that involve a law enforcement officer employed by the law enforcement agency, and that each policy under require an investigation conducted by at least two investigators, one of whom is the lead investigator and neither of whom is employed by a law enforcement agency that employs a law enforcement officer involved in the officer-involved death.	1
	Result: 2013 Wisconsin Act 348.	
AB 414 SB 330	This bill established a program under which participating employees in the Wisconsin Retirement System (WRS) may purchase years of creditable service under the WRS for all years of active service in the U.S. armed forces.	1
	Status: 2013 Wisconsin Act 191.	
AB 450	This bill required the Department of Health Services (DHS) to award grants for mental health	
SB 362	crisis intervention team training for law enforcement agencies and correctional officers.	1
AD 455	Result: 2013 Wisconsin Act 126.	
AB 467	Under this bill, when a court orders that the person's operating privilege be restricted to operating a vehicle with an ignition interlock device, the court would issue an order that the device be installed within 3 working days of the order restricting the person's operating privilege. Also under this bill, the operating privilege restriction would have taken effect immediately upon the issuing of the order restricting the person's operating privilege. The operating privilege restriction remains in place for not less than one year after DOT issues an operator's license nor more than the maximum operating privilege revocation period after DOT issues an operator's license. A violation of the operating privilege restriction is subject to the same penalty provided for failing to install, removing, disconnecting, or otherwise tampering with the ignition interlock device. Status: The measure was approved by the State Assembly, but no further action was taken	1
AB 470	This bill would have increased the minimum retirement age by two years, with the result that a WRS participant must be at least age 57 and a WRS protective occupation participant must be at least age 52 in order to qualify for an immediate retirement annuity under the WRS. This change in the minimum retirement age would first apply to individuals who are under the age of 40 on the bill's effective date and who terminate WRS-covered employment on the bill's effective date. Status: No action was taken in either house of the State Legislature.	1
AB 482	This bill separated the training standards into three distinct categories: 1) the standards for law	
SB 373	enforcement officers and tribal law enforcement officers; 2) the standards for jail officers; and 3) the standards for juvenile detention officers. Under the bill, constables and marshals who are given law enforcement duties are subject to the same training standards as law enforcement officers and tribal law enforcement officers. The bill also made several changes to the training and education standards, including setting forth a training and education protocol for law enforcement students, recruits, and temporary or probationary employees. Perhaps most notably, the bill eliminated specific hour requirements for training and education and allows the board to determine the specific outcome criteria and training curricula, including the required subjects, number of hours, objectives, and measures of performance for the training and education protocol for each category of officer. The bill required the board to develop model standards for use by law enforcement agencies to show handgun proficiency and to include handgun proficiency in the preparatory program and recertification training.	1

Bill Name	Description	Postion
AB 699	This bill would have permitted counties to classify county jailers, detention officers, and correctional officers eligible as protective occupation participants under the WRS without a requirement that their principal duties involve active law enforcement or active fire suppression or prevention.	1
	Result: No action was taken in either house of the State Legislature.	
AB 703	This bill would have generally prohibited the possession, propagation, and sale of dangerous exotic animals. Result: The measure was approved by the Assembly Committee on Consumer Protection, but no	1
177	further action was taken.	
AB 766	This bill would have restored collective bargaining rights for all state and local public employees.	
SB 558	Result: No action was taken in either house of the State Legislature.	
AB 854 SB 657	This measure would have made numerous changes to the administration and qualification for duty disability program administered by the Wisconsin Retirement System for protective occupation participants.	_
	Result: The author offered a substitute amendment limiting the scope of the bill to provide only that an audit of the duty disability program be conducted. The WPPA supported the amended legislation, which was approved by the Senate Committee on Judiciary and Labor. No further action was taken.	•
SB 101 AB 113	This bill would have increased the revenue limit for a school district that develops a school safety plan with a local law enforcement agency. Under the bill, the amount of the increase would have been \$100 multiplied by the number of pupils enrolled in the school district or \$40,000, whichever is greater. The school district would have been permitted to use the additional revenue to purchase school safety equipment, to pay security officers, and for the cost of school safety measures that are identified in the school district's school safety plan.	1
	Result: No action was taken in either house of the State Legislature.	
SB 203 AB 241	The bill would have required a municipality or county (political subdivision) that pays health insurance premiums for its employees who are law enforcement officers or emergency medical technicians (EMTs) to pay such premiums for the surviving spouse and dependent children of a law enforcement officer or EMT who dies, or has died, in the line of duty. In addition, the bill would have required the state to reimburse political subdivisions for premiums paid to cover the surviving spouses and dependents of fallen fire fighters, law enforcement officers, and EMTs that were paid by the political subdivisions and that were not otherwise required to be paid under an employment-related benefit provided to the fire fighter, law enforcement officer, or EMT.	1
	Result: The measure was approved by the State Senate, but no further action was taken.	
SB 381	Under this bill, public safety employees who are employed by a city of the first class (currently only Milwaukee) would be permitted to bargain collectively over only an annual percentage wage increase that does not exceed the annual percentage increase in the consumer price index. This bill also would have made these public safety workers subject to other provisions covering general employees in current law, including an annual certification election requirement, a limit on the term of a collective bargaining agreement to one year with no extensions, a prohibition on salary deductions for labor organization dues, and an ability to refrain from paying dues while remaining a member of a collective bargaining unit. Result: No action was taken in either house of the State Legislature.	1

The 2013-2014 Legislative Session in Retrospect

In the post-Act 10 era, fewer legislative proposals relating to public employee collective bargaining rights have been introduced, and the few that are introduced make little progress through the lawmaking process. As a result, the 2013-2014 WPPA Legislative Report Card reflects a greater number of bills that are purely

law enforcement and/or public safety-related. A thorough review of these bills demonstrates that Republican lawmakers are more likely than their Democratic counterparts to cosponsor this type of legislation, though the Democratic lawmakers that performed higher on the Report Card did so.

